

Table Two.

TANF Redirected to Child Care as a Share of All TANF Used in Federal FY 2000 and 2001* (as of September 2002)								
State	FY 00 Total TANF Used (in millions) ¹	FY 00 Total Transfer/Direct Committed to Child Care (in millions)	FY 00 Share of TANF Used Committed to Child Care ²	FY 01 Total TANF Used ³ (in millions)	FY 01 Total Transfer/Direct Committed to Child Care (in millions)	FY 01 Share of TANF Used Committed to Child Care ²	Difference in Dollar Amount of Total Transfer/ Direct Committed to Child Care, 2000-2001 (in millions)	Percentage Point Change in Share of TANF Used Committed to Child Care, 2000-2001
Alabama	\$83.8	\$20.3	24%	\$108.5	\$26.7	25%	\$6.4	0
Alaska	\$62.8	\$20.7	33%	\$56.1	\$19.5	35%	-\$1.3	2
Arizona	\$245.4	\$75.3	31%	\$248.1	\$50.8	20%	-\$24.5	-10
Arkansas	\$95.9	\$10.5	11%	\$82.4	\$16.9	21%	\$6.5	10
California	\$3,862.9	\$1,045.5	27%	\$3,884.8	\$809.8	21%	-\$235.7	-6
Colorado	\$123.0	\$30.5	25%	\$157.6	\$34.4	22%	\$4.0	-3
Connecticut	\$269.2	\$21.2	8%	\$238.4	\$0.0	0%	-\$21.2	-8
Delaware	\$34.3	\$3.5	10%	\$27.5	\$1.1	4%	-\$2.4	-6
District of Columbia	\$84.7	\$31.0	37%	\$143.6	\$51.1	36%	\$20.1	-1
Florida	\$570.6	\$249.8	44%	\$704.8	\$268.9	38%	\$19.1	-6
Georgia	\$295.9	\$51.7	17%	\$351.1	\$40.0	11%	-\$11.7	-6
Hawaii	\$85.1	\$0.9	1%	\$75.9	\$4.8	6%	\$3.9	5
Idaho	\$40.6	\$6.6	16%	\$44.6	\$12.4	28%	\$5.8	11
Illinois	\$626.6	\$148.4	24%	\$601.8	\$132.5	22%	-\$15.8	-2
Indiana	\$283.1	\$152.5	54%	\$299.8	\$101.4	34%	-\$51.1	-20
Iowa	\$142.5	\$26.4	19%	\$133.3	\$27.5	21%	\$1.1	2
Kansas	\$101.9	\$15.3	15%	\$95.3	\$11.0	12%	-\$4.3	-4
Kentucky	\$190.2	\$50.7	27%	\$183.3	\$53.1	29%	\$2.4	2
Louisiana	\$123.6	\$54.1	44%	\$127.2	\$55.3	44%	\$1.2	0
Maine	\$69.4	\$14.2	20%	\$68.8	\$10.9	16%	-\$3.2	-5
Maryland	\$155.0	\$5.0	3%	\$272.4	\$1.5	1%	-\$3.5	-3
Massachusetts	\$367.2	\$196.6	54%	\$503.5	\$249.2	49%	\$52.6	-4
Michigan	\$818.9	\$160.6	20%	\$807.2	\$179.9	22%	\$19.3	3
Minnesota	\$223.3	\$16.2	7%	\$281.6	\$17.0	6%	\$0.9	-1
Mississippi	\$85.3	\$43.1	51%	\$131.9	\$31.1	24%	-\$12.0	-27
Missouri	\$217.1	\$20.7	10%	\$223.0	\$20.7	9%	\$0.0	0
Montana	\$40.6	\$7.6	19%	\$48.0	\$7.6	16%	\$0.0	-3
Nebraska	\$52.6	\$4.0	8%	\$52.7	\$9.0	17%	\$5.0	9
Nevada	\$29.4	\$0.6	2%	\$42.4	\$0.0	0%	-\$0.6	-2
New Hampshire	\$40.9	\$0.0	0%	\$31.3	\$0.0	0%	\$0.0	0
New Jersey	\$67.4	\$5.6	8%	\$368.5	\$79.8	22%	\$74.3	13

New Mexico	\$128.5	\$19.5	15%	\$140.0	\$31.2	22%	\$11.7	7
New York	\$2,202.4	\$437.0	20%	\$2,644.9	\$375.0	14%	-\$62.0	-6
North Carolina	\$345.3	\$81.9	24%	\$360.3	\$105.5	29%	\$23.6	6
North Dakota	\$24.8	\$2.3	9%	\$26.8	\$1.3	5%	-\$0.9	-4
Ohio	\$744.0	\$156.5	21%	\$947.3	\$205.4	22%	\$48.9	1
Oklahoma	\$115.9	\$49.3	43%	\$108.9	\$30.3	28%	-\$18.9	-15
Oregon	\$164.4	\$15.8	10%	\$177.5	\$8.8	5%	-\$7.0	-5
Pennsylvania	\$626.3	\$101.1	16%	\$600.3	\$30.8	5%	-\$70.3	-11
Rhode Island	\$92.6	\$4.1	4%	\$95.0	\$0.5	1%	-\$3.6	-4
South Carolina	\$99.4	\$1.0	1%	\$103.2	\$1.4	1%	\$0.3	0
South Dakota	\$19.0	\$4.4	23%	\$18.8	\$4.3	23%	-\$0.1	0
Tennessee	\$242.2	\$65.1	27%	\$272.2	\$81.0	30%	\$16.0	3
Texas	\$534.4	\$38.3	7%	\$516.3	\$0.0	0%	-\$38.3	-7
Utah	\$70.4	\$1.4	2%	\$69.0	\$0.04	0.1%	-\$1.3	-2
Vermont	\$44.1	\$10.4	24%	\$45.5	\$8.9	20%	-\$1.5	-4
Virginia	\$137.2	\$27.7	20%	\$170.5	\$27.8	16%	\$0.1	-4
Washington	\$383.8	\$101.6	26%	\$504.9	\$174.2	34%	\$72.6	8
West Virginia	\$101.3	\$6.7	7%	\$186.5	\$27.3	15%	\$20.6	8
Wisconsin	\$345.5	\$153.9	45%	\$422.7	\$203.8	48%	\$49.9	4
Wyoming	\$7.1	\$0.0	0%	\$24.2	\$4.0	17%	\$4.0	17
Total	\$15,918.0	\$3,767.0	24%	\$17,830.3	\$3,645.6	20%	-\$121.4	-3

Source: Calculations by CLASP using TANF fiscal data from Zoe Neuberger at the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities based on 4th qtr. FY 2001 ACF-196 forms for grant years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000 and 2001 and online data from U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, TANF Program Expenditures through the 4th Quarter, FY 2000 [available at <http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/ofis/data/q400/TableApg1.htm>].

Notes: *Used reflects funds either transferred to CCDF or SSBG, or directly spent in FY 01. Note that some expenditures may include previous year TANF block grant dollars.

¹ Calculated from U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, TANF Program Expenditures through the 4th Quarter, Table A: Combined Federal Federal Funds Spending through the 4th Quarter [available at <http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/programs/ofis/data/q400/TableApg1.htm>]. For this calculation, transfer to CCDF and direct expenditures on child care figures were updated to reflect state verified changes noted in Table 1.

² The percentage of total TANF transfers to CCDF or directly spent on child care out of total TANF used that year.

³ Calculated using TANF fiscal data from the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities based on 4th qtr. FY 2001 ACF-196 forms for grant years 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000 and 2001 submitted to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families. For this calculation, transfer to CCDF and direct expenditures on child care figures were updated to reflect state verified changes noted in Table 1.