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Using data from the U.S. Census Bureau, this report provides demographic and economic information about same-sex couples and same-sex couples raising children in Indiana. We compare same-sex "unmarried partners," which the Census Bureau defines as an unmarried couple who "shares living quarters and has a close personal relationship," to different-sex married couples in Indiana. ${ }^{1}$


Same-sex couple households per 1,000 households None present: 0
Low: 0.01-2.99
Med: 3-4.99
High: 5+

In many ways, the almost 16,000 same-sex couples living in Indiana are similar to married couples. According to Census 2000, they live throughout the State, are racially and ethnically diverse, have partners who depend upon one another financially, and actively participate in Indiana's economy. Census data also show that $23 \%$ of same-sex couples in Indiana are raising children. However, same-sex couples have fewer economic resources to provide for their families than married couples: they have lower household incomes and lower rates of homeownership.

## SAME-SEX COUPLES AND THE LGB POPULATI ON IN INDI ANA

- In 2000, there were 10,219 same-sex couples living in Indiana. ${ }^{2}$
- By 2005, the number of same-sex couples increased to 15,714 . $^{3}$ This increase likely reflects same-sex couples' growing willingness to disclose their partnerships on government surveys.
- In 2005, there were an estimated 169,700 gay, lesbian, and bisexual people (single and coupled) living in Indiana. ${ }^{4}$


## I NDI VI DUALS I N SAME-SEX COUPLES ARE DEMOGRAPHI CALLY AND GEOGRAPHI CALLY DI VERSE

- There are more female same-sex couples (51\%) than male same-sex couples (49\%) in Indiana. ${ }^{5}$
- Individuals in same-sex couples are, on average, 39 years old, and significantly younger than individuals in married couples (47 years old) in Indiana.
- Same-sex couples live in every county in Indiana and constitute $0.7 \%$ of coupled households and $0.4 \%$ of all households in the state. Marion County reported the most same-sex couples with 2,423 couples ( $0.69 \%$ of all households in the county), followed by Lake County with 630 couples ( $0.35 \%$ ), and Allen County with 572 couples $(0.44 \%)$. The counties with the highest percentage of same-sex couples are Monroe County ( $0.74 \%$ of all county households), Marion County ( $0.69 \%$ ), and Brown County ( $0.66 \%$ ). ${ }^{6}$
- Indiana's same-sex couples are more racially and ethnically diverse than their married counterparts: $12 \%$ of same-sex couples are nonwhite, compared to $9 \%$ of married couples.


## PEOPLE I N SAME-SEX COUPLES ARE ACTI VELY ENGAGED IN THE STATE ECONOMY

- Individuals in same-sex couples in Indiana are significantly more likely to be employed than are married individuals: 77\% of individuals in samesex couples are employed, compared to $68 \%$ of married individuals.
- Contrary to a popular stereotype, the annual earnings of men in same-sex couples are significantly lower than those of married men. On average, men in same-sex couples in Indiana earn $\$ 28,518$ each year, significantly less than \$45,936 for married men. The median income of men in same-sex couples in Indiana is $\$ 25,000$, or $34 \%$ less than that of married men $(\$ 38,000)$.
- Women in same-sex couples in Indiana earn an average of $\$ 29,608$ per year (with a median of $\$ 26,000$ ), more than married women, whose earnings average $\$ 23,239$ (with a median of $\$ 29,000$ ). Women in same-sex couples earn less than married men but more than men in same-sex couples.

Average Individual Earnings


- Individuals in same-sex couples in Indiana are more likely to work in the private sector: $80 \%$ of individuals in same-sex couples work in the private sector, compared to $77 \%$ of married individuals; $14 \%$ of individuals in same-sex couples work in the public sector, compared to $12 \%$ of married individuals; and $6 \%$ of individuals in same-sex couples are self-employed, compared to $10 \%$ of married individuals.
- Individuals in same-sex couples are more likely to have a college degree: $29 \%$ of individuals in samesex couples and $21 \%$ of married individuals have earned a college degree.
- Despite the military's historic policies of excluding gay men and lesbians from service, individuals in same-sex couples have served in the military: 9\% of individuals in same-sex couples are veterans, compared to $16 \%$ of married individuals.


## SAME-SEX PARTNERS IN I NDI ANA DEPEND UPON ONE ANOTHER IN WAYS THAT ARE SI MI LAR TO MARRIED COUPLES

- Couples in which one partner does not work or earns significantly less than the other partner may indicate financial interdependence. 24\% of same-sex couples have only one wage earner, compared to $30 \%$ of married couples.
- The income gap between same-sex partners is $\$ 17,432$, compared to $\$ 27,624$ for married spouses.
- A larger percentage of same-sex couples in Indiana have at least one partner who is disabled: $31 \%$ of same-sex couples, compared to $29 \%$ of married couples.
- $6 \%$ of same-sex couples have at least one partner who is age 65 or older, compared to $18 \%$ of married couples.


## SAME-SEX HOUSEHOLDS IN I NDI ANA HAVE FEWER ECONOMIC RESOURCES THAN MARRIED HOUSEHOLDS

- The median income of same-sex coupled households in Indiana is $\$ 53,700$, less than that of married couples ( $\$ 56,000$ ). The average household income of same-sex couples is $\$ 61,760$, less than $\$ 67,637$ for married couples.

Household Incomes


- Same-sex couples are significantly less likely than married couples to own their homes: $63 \%$ of samesex couples in Indiana own their home, compared to $86 \%$ of married couples.


## SAME-SEX COUPLES ARE RAISING CHI LDREN IN I NDI ANA, YET WITH FAR FEWER ECONOMIC RESOURCES THAN MARRI ED PARENTS

- $23 \%$ of same-sex couples in Indiana are raising children under the age of 18 .
- As of 2005, an estimated 5,369 of Indiana's children are living in households headed by same-sex couples. ${ }^{7}$
- In Indiana, married and same-sex couples with children under 18 in the home have, on average, 2 children.
- $2 \%$ of Indiana's adopted children (or 725 children) live with a lesbian or gay parent. ${ }^{8}$
- $36 \%$ of same-sex parents have only one wage earner, compared to $32 \%$ of married parents.
- Same-sex parents have far fewer financial resources to support their children than married parents in Indiana. The median household income of same-sex couples with children is $\$ 50,830$, or $14 \%$ lower than that of married parents ( $\$ 59,100$ ). The average household income of same-sex couples with children is $\$ 63,032$, less than $\$ 69,853$ for married parents.
- While $66 \%$ of same-sex couples with children own their home, a much larger percentage of married parents ( $83 \%$ ) own their home.

Household (With Children) Incomes


## CONCLUSI ON

Census data provide valuable information about gay and lesbian couples in Indiana. While in many respects Indiana's same-sex couples look like married couples, same-sex couples have fewer economic resources to provide for their families than married couples and lower rates of homeownership.

|  | Same-Sex | Married |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race/Ethnicity ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |
| White | 88.1\% | 91.4\%* |
| Black | 7.5\% | 4.3\%* |
| Hispanic | 2.7\% | 2.5\% |
| Asian | 0.5\% | 1.0\% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 0.7\% | 0.2\% ${ }^{\text {~ }}$ |
| Other | 0.5\% | 0.7\% |
| Average age | 38.6 | 47.5* |
| Percent with a college degree or better | 29.4\% | 21.2\%* |
| Percent Employed | 76.8\% | 68.1\%* |
| Employment ${ }^{9}$ |  |  |
| Private employer | 79.7\% | 77.1\% |
| Public employer | 14.2\% | 12.2\% |
| Self-employed | 5.8\% | 10.4\%* |
| Veteran Status | 9.0\% | 16.2\%* |
| Average individual salary |  |  |
| Men | \$28,518 | \$45,936* |
| Women | \$29,608 | \$23,239* |
| Median individual salary |  |  |
| Men | \$25,000 | \$38,000 |
| Women | \$26,000 | \$20,000 |
| * Difference significant at the $5 \%$ level or better (two-tailed tests). <br> ^ Difference significant at the $10 \%$ level or better (two-tailed tests). |  |  |


| Table Two: Characteristics of couples |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Same-Sex | Married |
| At least one partner 65 or older | $6.5 \%$ | $17.8 \%^{*}$ |
| Percent disabled | $31.4 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| Average household income | $\$ 61,760$ | $\$ 67,637^{\wedge}$ |
| Median household income | $\$ 53,700$ | $\$ 56,000$ |
| Income gap between partners | $\$ 17,432$ | $\$ 27,624^{*}$ |
| Single wage earner | $23.6 \%$ | $29.7 \%^{*}$ |
| Homeownership | $63.5 \%$ | $86 . \%^{*}$ |
| Percent with children under 18 | $23.5 \%$ | $46.8 \%^{*}$ |
| \% Differe |  |  |

* Difference significant at the $5 \%$ level or better (two-tailed tests).
^ Difference significant at the $10 \%$ level or better (two-tailed tests).


| Appendix A: Counts and percent of same-sex couples by county |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County | Number of samesex couples | Percent of samesex couples out of all households | County | Number of samesex couples | Percent of samesex couples out of all households |
| Adams | 33 | 0.28\% | Marion | 2423 | 0.69\% |
| Allen | 572 | 0.44\% | Marshall | 70 | 0.42\% |
| Bartholomew | 100 | 0.36\% | Martin | 14 | 0.33\% |
| Benton | 16 | 0.45\% | Miami | 52 | 0.38\% |
| Blackford | 21 | 0.37\% | Monroe | 345 | 0.74\% |
| Boone | 73 | 0.43\% | Montgomery | 56 | 0.38\% |
| Brown | 39 | 0.66\% | Morgan | 107 | 0.44\% |
| Carroll | 20 | 0.26\% | Newton | 13 | 0.24\% |
| Cass | 59 | 0.38\% | Noble | 77 | 0.46\% |
| Clark | 182 | 0.47\% | Ohio | 5 | 0.23\% |
| Clay | 26 | 0.25\% | Orange | 17 | 0.22\% |
| Clinton | 48 | 0.38\% | Owen | 32 | 0.39\% |
| Crawford | 21 | 0.50\% | Parke | 27 | 0.42\% |
| Daviess | 39 | 0.36\% | Perry | 20 | 0.28\% |
| Dearborn | 59 | 0.35\% | Pike | 10 | 0.20\% |
| Decatur | 21 | 0.22\% | Porter | 206 | 0.38\% |
| DeKalb | 55 | 0.36\% | Posey | 32 | 0.31\% |
| Delaware | 210 | 0.45\% | Pulaski | 16 | 0.31\% |
| Dubois | 31 | 0.21\% | Putnam | 42 | 0.34\% |
| Elkhart | 228 | 0.34\% | Randolph | 36 | 0.33\% |
| Fayette | 47 | 0.46\% | Ripley | 40 | 0.41\% |
| Floyd | 136 | 0.49\% | Rush | 22 | 0.32\% |
| Fountain | 27 | 0.38\% | Scott | 49 | 0.55\% |
| Franklin | 32 | 0.41\% | Shelby | 61 | 0.37\% |
| Fulton | 27 | 0.33\% | Spencer | 23 | 0.30\% |
| Gibson | 41 | 0.32\% | St. Joseph | 422 | 0.42\% |
| Grant | 108 | 0.38\% | Starke | 32 | 0.37\% |
| Greene | 46 | 0.34\% | Steuben | 43 | 0.34\% |
| Hamilton | 239 | 0.36\% | Sullivan | 23 | 0.29\% |
| Hancock | 64 | 0.31\% | Switzerland | 15 | 0.44\% |
| Harrison | 53 | 0.41\% | Tippecanoe | 230 | 0.42\% |
| Hendricks | 142 | 0.38\% | Tipton | 30 | 0.46\% |
| Henry | 62 | 0.32\% | Union | 6 | 0.21\% |
| Howard | 120 | 0.34\% | Vanderburgh | 301 | 0.43\% |
| Huntington | 39 | 0.27\% | Vermillion | 15 | 0.22\% |
| Jackson | 68 | 0.42\% | Vigo | 158 | 0.39\% |
| J asper | 30 | 0.28\% | Wabash | 50 | 0.38\% |
| Jay | 33 | 0.39\% | Warren | 21 | 0.65\% |
| Jefferson | 40 | 0.33\% | Warrick | 66 | 0.34\% |
| Jennings | 37 | 0.37\% | Washington | 39 | 0.38\% |
| Johnson | 168 | 0.40\% | Wayne | 122 | 0.43\% |
| Knox | 66 | 0.42\% | Wells | 21 | 0.20\% |
| Kosciusko | 110 | 0.40\% | White | 60 | 0.62\% |
| LaGrange | 33 | 0.29\% | Whitley | 50 | 0.43\% |
| Lake | 630 | 0.35\% |  |  |  |
| LaPorte | 181 | 0.44\% |  |  |  |
| Lawrence | 71 | 0.38\% |  |  |  |
| Madison | 217 | 0.41\% |  |  |  |
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